

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/025,137	LIU ET AL
	Examiner Jehanne S. Sitton	Art Unit 1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) Jehanne S. Sitton.

(3) ____.

(2) Jianming Hao.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 36

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner asked whether or not the claims were meant to encompass sequences comprising sequences from within the recited SEQ ID NOS. Applicant's representative indicated that they were not. The examiner indicated that the claims could be amended to overcome the 112/2nd paragraph rejection. See present office action..

7.0.0